arXiv:solv-int/9509009v1 25 Sep 1995

The separability and dynamical r-matrix for the constrained flows of Jaulent-Miodek hierarchy

Yunbo Zeng

Department of Physical Sciences, University of Turku

FIN-20500 Turku, Finland

Department of Applied Mathematics, Tsinghua University*

Beijing 100084, China

February 9, 2008

Abstract

We show here the separability of Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a hierarchy of integrable Hamiltonian systems obtained from the constrained flows of the Jaulent-Miodek hierarchy. The classical Poisson structure for these Hamiltonian systems is constructed. The associated r-matrices depend not only on the spectral parameters, but also on the dynamical variables and, for consistency, have to obey the classical Yang-Baxter equations of dynamical type. Some new solutions of classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equations are presented. Thus these integrable systems provide examples both for the dynamical r-matrix and for the separable Hamiltonian system not having a natural Hamiltonian form.

---

*Permanent address.

1 Introduction

The separability of Hamilton-Jacobi equation and classical Poisson structure for finite-dimensional intrgrable Hamiltonian system (FDIHS) play an important role in our constructing of solutions and understanding of complete integrability for FDIHS [1, 2]. More resently, interest bas developed in the study of FDIHS admitting a dynamical r-matrix which depends not only on the spactral parameters but also on the dynamical variables [3, 4, 5]. For example, the celebrated Calogero-Moser system has been found only recently to possess a dynamical r-matrix [6]. As for the separability, some FDIHS's were shown to be separable in polar, cartesian, spherical, generalized elliptic and generalized parabolic coordinates, respectively, introduced by using the Lax representation [7, 8, 9], or Painlevé expansion [10]. However, so far all studied separable Hamiltonian systems have been of the natural form $H = \frac{1}{2}\sum p_i^2 + V(q_1, \cdots, q_n)$.

In this paper we consider a hierarchy of FDIHS's obtained from the constrained flows [11] of the Jaulent-Miodek hierarchy. The Lax representation for this hierarchy of FDIHS's can be deduced from the adjoint representation of the associated eigenvalue problems. By means of the Lax representation, we construct the classical Poisson structure for these FDIHS's. It turns out that the associated r-matrices are of dynamical type, and, for consistency, have to obey the classical, dynamical Yang-Baxter equations. Some new solutions of classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equations are presented. The Hamiltonian for these FDIHS's is not of the natural form except the first two members of the hierarchy. We show the separability of Hamilton-Jacobi equations in new canonical coordinates introduced via the Lax representation. Thus these FDIHS's provide examples both for dynamical r-matrix structure and for separable FDIHS's not having a natural Hamiltonian form.

For the following Jaulent-Miodek (JM) eigenvalue problem [12]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = U(u,\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$U(u,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda^2 + \lambda q + r & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad u = \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

the associated JM hierarchy is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = J \begin{pmatrix} b_{n+2} \\ b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = J \frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta u}. \tag{2}$$

Here and after

$$\begin{array}{l}
a_0=a_1=a_2=b_0=b_1=0,\quad b_2=-1,\quad b_3=-\frac{1}{2}q,\quad c_0=1,\quad c_1=-\frac{1}{2}q,\\
\begin{pmatrix} b_{m+2}\\ b_{m+1}\end{pmatrix}=L\begin{pmatrix} b_{m+1}\\ b_m\end{pmatrix},\quad L=\begin{pmatrix} q-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^{-1}q_x & r-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^{-1}r_x-\frac{1}{4}\partial_x^2\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix},\\
a_m=-\frac{1}{2}b_{m,x},\quad c_m=a_{m,x}-b_{m+2}+qb_{m+1}+rb_m,\quad m=1,2,\cdots,\\
J=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\partial_x\\ 2\partial_x & -q_x-2q\partial_x\end{pmatrix},\quad H_1=-q,\quad H_m=\frac{1}{m-1}(2b_{m+2}-qb_{m+1}).
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

We have [11]

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\delta u}=\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\lambda\psi_1^2\\ \psi_1^2\end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The constrained flow of (2) consists of replicas of (1) for N distinct λ_j and of restriction of the variational derivatives for conserved quantities H_{k_0} (for any fixed k_0) and λ_j [13, 14, 15]:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\begin{pmatrix}\psi_{1j}\\ \psi_{2j}\end{pmatrix}_x=U(u,\lambda_j)\begin{pmatrix}\psi_{1j}\\ \psi_{2j}\end{pmatrix},\qquad j=1,...,N,\\
\frac{\delta H_{k_0+3}}{\delta u}+\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{\delta\lambda_j}{\delta u}=\begin{pmatrix} b_{k_0+4}\\ b_{k_0+3}\end{pmatrix}+\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} <\Lambda\Psi_1,\Psi_1>\\ <\Psi_1,\Psi_1>\end{pmatrix}=0.
\end{array} \tag{5}$$

Hereafter we denote the inner product in $\mathbf{R^N}$ by $< .,. >$ and

$$\Psi_1=(\psi_{11},\cdots,\psi_{1N})^T,\quad \Psi_2=(\psi_{21},\cdots,\psi_{2N})^T,\quad \Lambda=diag(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_N). \tag{6}$$

It is shown in [11] that the system (5) is invariant under all flows of (2) and can be transformed into a finite-dimensional integrable Hamiltonian system (FDIHS) by introducing the so-called Jacobi-Ostrogradsky coordinates. The Lax representation for (5), which can be deduced from the adjoint representation of (1), is of the form [11]

$$M_x^{(k_0)}=[U,M^{(k_0)}], \tag{7}$$

where

$$M^{(k_0)}\equiv\begin{pmatrix} A^{(k_0)} & B^{(k_0)}\\ C^{(k_0)} & -A^{(k_0)}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} A_1^{(k_0)} & B_1^{(k_0)}\\ C_1^{(k_0)} & -A_1^{(k_0)}\end{pmatrix}+N_0, \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1^{(k_0)} & B_1^{(k_0)} \\ C_1^{(k_0)} & -A_1^{(k_0)} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{m=0}^{k_0+2} \begin{pmatrix} a_m & b_m \\ c_m & -a_m \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{k_0+2-m}, \tag{9}$$

$$N_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1j}\psi_{2j} & -\psi_{1j}^2 \\ \psi_{2j}^2 & -\psi_{1j}\psi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

We present the first and the third systems of (5) below.

(a) When $k_0 = 0$, we have

$$q = < \Psi_1, \Psi_1 >, \quad r = < \Lambda\Psi_1, \Psi_1 > -\frac{3}{4} < \Psi_1, \Psi_1 >^2, \tag{11}$$

and (5) becomes

$$\Psi_{1x} = \Psi_2 = \frac{\partial \widetilde{H}_0}{\partial \Psi_2}, \qquad \Psi_{2x} = -\frac{\partial \widetilde{H}_0}{\partial \Psi_1}, \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H}_0 = {} & \tfrac{1}{2} < \Psi_2, \Psi_2 > + \tfrac{1}{2} < \Lambda^2\Psi_1, \Psi_1 > - \tfrac{1}{2} < \Psi_1, \Psi_1 >< \Lambda\Psi_1, \Psi_1 > \\ & + \tfrac{1}{8} < \Psi_1, \Psi_1 >^3 . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The $A_1^{(0)}, B_1^{(0)}, C_1^{(0)}$ in (8) read

$$\begin{aligned} & A_1^{(0)}(\lambda) = 0, \qquad B_1^{(0)}(\lambda) = -1, \\ & C_1^{(0)}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \tfrac{1}{2} < \Psi_1, \Psi_1 > \lambda - \tfrac{1}{2} < \Lambda\Psi_1, \Psi_1 > + \tfrac{1}{4} < \Psi_1, \Psi_1 >^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

(b) When $k_0 = 2$, by introducing the following Jacobi-Ostrogradsky coordinates:

$$q_1 = q, \qquad q_2 = r, \qquad p_1 = -\frac{5}{16} q_x q - \frac{1}{8} r_x, \qquad p_2 = -\frac{1}{8} q_x, \tag{15}$$

system (5) can be written in canonical Hamiltonian form

$$\Psi_{1x} = \Psi_2 = \frac{\partial \widetilde{H}_2}{\partial \Psi_2}, \qquad q_{ix} = \frac{\partial \widetilde{H}_2}{\partial p_i}, \qquad \Psi_{2x} = -\frac{\partial \widetilde{H}_2}{\partial \Psi_1}, \qquad p_{ix} = -\frac{\partial \widetilde{H}_2}{\partial q_i}, \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H}_2 = {} & -8p_1p_2 + \tfrac{7}{128} q_1^5 + \tfrac{5}{16} q_1^3 q_2 + \tfrac{3}{8} q_1 q_2^2 + 10 q_1 p_2^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} < \Psi_2, \Psi_2 > \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2} < \Lambda^2\Psi_1, \Psi_1 > - \tfrac{1}{2} q_1 < \Lambda\Psi_1, \Psi_1 > - \tfrac{1}{2} q_2 < \Psi_1, \Psi_1 > . \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The $A_1^{(2)}, B_1^{(2)}, C_1^{(2)}$ for $M^{(2)}$ are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & A_1^{(2)}(\lambda) = -2p_2\lambda - 2p_1 + 2p_2 q_1, \quad B_1^{(2)}(\lambda) = -\lambda^2 - \tfrac{1}{2} q_1 \lambda - \tfrac{3}{8} q_1^2 - \tfrac{1}{2} q_2, \\ & C_1^{(2)}(\lambda) = \lambda^4 - \tfrac{1}{2} q_1 \lambda^3 - \tfrac{1}{8}(4q_2 + q_1^2)\lambda^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}(q_1^3 + 2q_1q_2 - 2 < \Psi_1, \Psi_1 >)\lambda \\ & -\tfrac{5}{64} q_1^4 + 4p_2^2 + \tfrac{1}{4} q_2^2 - \tfrac{1}{2} < \Lambda\Psi_1, \Psi_1 > + \tfrac{1}{2} q_1 < \Psi_1, \Psi_1 > . \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The Hamiltonian $\widetilde{H}_{k_0}$ for (16) and for the FDIHS obtained from (5) with $k_0 > 2$ is not of the natural form. We shall use (16) as a model to illustrate how to separate the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the FDIHS which is not in the natural Hamiltonian form.

2 The classical Poisson structure

In this section we describe the classical Poisson structure associated with the Lax representation for (12) and (16).

With respect to the standard Poisson bracket, it is found by a direct calculation that both $A^{(0)}, B^{(0)}, C^{(0)}$ and $A^{(2)}, B^{(2)}, C^{(2)}$ satisfy the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{A(\lambda), A(\mu)\} = \{B(\lambda), B(\mu)\} = 0,\\
&\{C(\lambda), C(\mu)\} = 2g^{(k_0)}(\lambda,\mu)(A(\mu) - A(\lambda)),\\
&\{A(\lambda), B(\mu)\} = \tfrac{1}{\mu-\lambda}(B(\mu) - B(\lambda)),\\
&\{A(\lambda), C(\mu)\} = \tfrac{1}{\mu-\lambda}(C(\lambda) - C(\mu)) + g^{(k_0)}(\lambda,\mu)B(\lambda),\\
&\{B(\lambda), C(\mu)\} = \tfrac{2}{\mu-\lambda}(A(\mu) - A(\lambda)),
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

with

$$g^{(0)}(\lambda,\mu) =< \Psi_1, \Psi_1 > -\lambda - \mu, \qquad g^{(2)}(\lambda,\mu) = q_1 - \lambda - \mu. \tag{20}$$

We use the standard notation $\sigma_k, k = 0,1,2,3$ for the Pauli matrices and $\sigma_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2)$. In the following let P be the permutation matrix

$$P = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{3} \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

Denote either $M^{(0)}$ or $M^{(2)}$ by M. Set

$$M_1(\lambda) = M(\lambda) \otimes I, \qquad M_2(\mu) = I \otimes M(\mu). \tag{22}$$

Then we obtain from (19) that the classical Poisson structure for both the system (12) and (16) can be written in the form

$$\{M_1(\lambda), M_2(\mu)\} = [r_{12}(\lambda,\mu), M_1(\lambda)] - [r_{21}(\lambda,\mu), M_2(\mu)], \tag{23}$$

with the r-matrix $r_{12}(\lambda,\mu)$ given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} r_{12}(\lambda,\mu)=\frac{1}{\mu-\lambda}P-g^{(k_0)}(\lambda,\mu)S, & \qquad S=\sigma_-\otimes\sigma_-, \\ r_{21}(\lambda,\mu)=r^{\pi}_{12}(\lambda,\mu)\equiv Pr_{12}(\mu,\lambda)P=r_{12}(\mu,\lambda). & \end{array} \tag{24}$$

The r-matrix $r_{12}(\lambda,\mu)$ depends not only on the spectral parameters but also on the dynamical variables. The classical Poisson structure (23) contains all neccesary information concerning the considered system and is more rich in content than the Lax representation [2]. An immediate consequence of (23) is that

$$\{M_1^2(\lambda),M_2^2(\mu)\}=[\overline{r}_{12}(\lambda,\mu),M_1(\lambda)]-[\overline{r}_{21}(\lambda,\mu),M_2(\mu)], \tag{25}$$

where [3]

$$\overline{r}_{ij}(\lambda,\mu)=\sum_{k=0}^{1}\sum_{l=0}^{1}M_1^{1-k}(\lambda)M_2^{1-l}(\mu)r_{ij}(\lambda,\mu)M_1^k(\lambda)M_2^l(\mu). \tag{26}$$

Then it follows from (25) immediately that

$$4\{TrM^2(\lambda),TrM^2(\mu)\}=Tr\{M_1^2(\lambda),M_2^2(\mu)\}=0, \tag{27}$$

which esures the involution property of the integrals of motion. For example, for system (16) one gets

$$TrM^2(\lambda)=(A^{(2)}(\lambda))^2+B^{(2)}(\lambda)C^{(2)}(\lambda)=-\lambda^6-\widetilde{H}_2\lambda^2+F^{(0)}+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{F^{(j)}}{\lambda-\lambda_j}, \tag{28}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{l} F^{(0)}=-\frac{1}{2}<\Lambda^3\Psi_1,\Psi_1>+\frac{1}{4}q_1<\Lambda^2\Psi_1,\Psi_1>+(\frac{1}{4}q_1^2+\frac{1}{2}q_2)<\Lambda\Psi_1,\Psi_1> \\ -(\frac{5}{16}q_1^3+\frac{1}{2}q_2q_1-\frac{1}{4}<\Psi_1,\Psi_1>)<\Psi_1,\Psi_1>-\frac{1}{2}<\Lambda\Psi_2,\Psi_2> \\ -\frac{1}{4}q_1<\Psi_2,\Psi_2>-2p_2<\Psi_1,\Psi_2>+4p_1^2+\frac{5}{2}q_1^2p_2^2-8q_1p_1p_2 \\ +\frac{15}{512}q_1^6-\frac{3}{32}q_1^2q_2^2+\frac{5}{128}q_1^4q_2-2p_2^2q_2-\frac{1}{8}q_2^3, \\ F^{(j)}=(-2p_2\lambda_j-2p_1+2q_1p_2)\psi_{1j}\psi_{2j}+[-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_j^4+\frac{1}{4}q_1\lambda_j^3+\frac{1}{16}(4q_2+q_1^2)\lambda_j^2 \\ -\frac{1}{8}(q_1^3+2q_2q_1-2<\Psi_1,\Psi_1>)\lambda_j+\frac{5}{128}q_1^4-2p_2^2-\frac{1}{8}q_2^2+\frac{1}{4}<\Lambda\Psi_1,\Psi_1> \\ -\frac{1}{4}q_1<\Psi_1,\Psi_1>]\psi_{1j}^2+(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_j^2-\frac{1}{4}q_1\lambda_j-\frac{1}{4}q_2-\frac{3}{16}q_1^2)\psi_{2j}^2 \\ +\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{1}{\lambda_k-\lambda_j}(\psi_{1j}\psi_{2k}-\psi_{1k}\psi_{2j})^2, \qquad j=1,\cdots,N. \end{array} \tag{29}$$

Then (27) and (28) guarantee that the functionally independent integrals of motion $\widetilde{H}_2$ and $F^{(j)}, j = 0, 1, \cdots, N$, are in involution. This shows the integrability of (16) in the sense of Liouville [1].

Let us denote

$$\begin{array}{lll} \overline{M}_1(\lambda) = M(\lambda) \otimes I \otimes I, & \overline{M}_2(\mu) = I \otimes M(\mu) \otimes I, & \overline{M}_3(\nu) = I \otimes I \otimes M(\nu), \\ P_{12} = P \otimes I, & P_{23} = I \otimes P, & P_{13} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{3} \sigma_k \otimes I \otimes \sigma_k, \\ S_{12} = S \otimes I, & S_{23} = I \otimes S, & S_{13} = \sigma_- \otimes I \otimes \sigma_-. \end{array} \tag{30}$$

The Jacobi identity for Poisson bracket can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\overline{M}_1(\lambda), \{\overline{M}_2(\mu), \overline{M}_3(\nu)\}\} + \{\overline{M}_3(\nu), \{\overline{M}_1(\lambda), \overline{M}_2(\mu)\}\} \\ &+\{\overline{M}_2(\mu), \{\overline{M}_3(\nu), \overline{M}_1(\lambda)\}\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

One gets the following constraint on the r-matrix from (31) [4]

$$\begin{aligned} &[\overline{M}_1(\lambda), [d_{12}(\lambda, \mu), d_{13}(\lambda, \nu)] + [d_{12}(\lambda, \mu), d_{23}(\mu, \nu)] + [d_{32}(\nu, \mu), d_{13}(\lambda, \nu)] \\ &+\{\overline{M}_2(\mu), d_{13}(\lambda, \nu)\} - \{\overline{M}_3(\nu), d_{12}(\lambda, \mu)\}] + \text{cyclic permutations} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

In our case we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{ij}(\lambda, \mu) = \tfrac{1}{(\mu-\lambda)} P_{ij} - g^{(k_0)}(\lambda, \mu) S_{ij}, \\ &d_{ji}(\lambda, \mu) = d_{ij}(\lambda, \mu), \quad i < j, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

satisfy the following classical Yang-Baxter equations of dynamical type for the r-matrix

$$\begin{aligned} &[d_{12}(\lambda, \mu), d_{13}(\lambda, \nu)] + [d_{12}(\lambda, \mu), d_{23}(\mu, \nu)] + [d_{32}(\nu, \mu), d_{13}(\lambda, \nu)] \\ &+\{\overline{M}_2(\mu), d_{13}(\lambda, \nu)\} - \{\overline{M}_3(\nu), d_{12}(\lambda, \mu)\} + \beta^{(k_0)}[S_0, \overline{M}_2(\mu) - \overline{M}_3(\nu)] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

plus cyclic permutations, where

$$\beta^{(0)} = 2, \qquad \beta^{(2)} = 0, \qquad S_0 = \sigma_- \otimes \sigma_- \otimes \sigma_-. \tag{35}$$

So (33) offers solutions to the classical, dynamical Yang-Baxter equations (34). These equations have an extra term $[S_0, \overline{M}_i - \overline{M}_j]$ in comparison with the extended Yang-Baxter equations in [4].

3 Separability of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

By using (16) as a model, we illustrate how the Hamilton-Jacobi equation can be separated. In usual way [8, 9], we introduce new coordinates $u_1, \cdots, u_{N+2}$ defined by the zeros of $B^{(2)}(\lambda)$:

$$-B^{(2)}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}q_1\lambda + \frac{3}{8}q_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}q_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\lambda-\lambda_j}\psi_{1j}^2 = \frac{R(\lambda)}{K(\lambda)}, \tag{36}$$

where

$$R(\lambda) \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{N+2}(\lambda - u_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{N+2}(-1)^i\beta_i\lambda^{N+2-i}, \tag{37}$$

$$K(\lambda) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{N}(\lambda - \lambda_j) = \sum_{i=0}^{N}(-1)^i\alpha_i\lambda^{N-i}, \tag{38}$$

and $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1 = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i, \quad \alpha_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j\neq i}\lambda_i\lambda_j, \quad \beta_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N+2}u_i, \\ &\beta_2 = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{N+2}\sum_{j\neq i}u_iu_j, \cdots. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

From (36), we obtain

$$u_k^2 + \frac{1}{2}q_1u_k + \frac{3}{8}q_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}q_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{u_k-\lambda_j}\psi_{1j}^2 = 0, \quad k = 1, \cdots, N+2, \tag{40}$$

$$\psi_{1j}^2 = 2\frac{R(\lambda_j)}{K'(\lambda_j)}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, N. \tag{41}$$

Throughout the paper the prime denotes differentiation with respect to λ.

For each u_k let us define the additional variable v_k as follows

$$v_k = A^{(2)}(u_k) = -2p_2u_k + 2q_1p_2 - 2p_1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{u_k-\lambda_j}\psi_{1j}\psi_{2j}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, N+2. \tag{42}$$

Notice that $A(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x B(\lambda)$, we find from (36)

$$v_k = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_x\frac{R(\lambda)}{K(\lambda)}\right)_{\lambda=u_k} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{R'(u_k)}{K(u_k)}u_{k,x}, \qquad k = 1, \cdots, N+2. \tag{43}$$

We now show that the variables u_k and v_k are canonical ones:

$$\{u_k, u_j\} = 0, \quad \{u_k, v_j\} = \delta_{kj}, \quad \{v_k, v_j\} = 0, \quad k, j = 1, \cdots, N+2. \tag{44}$$

Equation (40) implies that u_k depends only on q_1, q_2, ψ_{1j}, so the first equality in (44) holds. From (19), one gets

$$\begin{array}{l} \{B(\lambda), v_k\} = \{B(\lambda), A(u_k)\} = \{B(\lambda), A(\mu)\}_{\mu=u_k} + A'(u_k)\{B(\lambda), u_k\} \\ = \frac{1}{u_k-\lambda}B(\lambda) + A'(u_k)\{B(\lambda), u_k\}, \end{array} \tag{45}$$

and

$$0 = \{B(u_j), v_k\} = \{B(\lambda), v_k\}_{\lambda=u_j} + B'(u_j)\{u_j, v_k\}, \tag{46}$$

which lead to

$$\begin{array}{l} \{u_j, v_k\} = \frac{1}{B'(u_j)}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda-u_k}B(\lambda)\right)_{\lambda=u_j} \\ -\frac{A'(u_k)}{B'(u_j)}[\{B(u_j), u_k\} - B'(u_j)\{u_j, u_k\}] = \delta_{kj}. \end{array} \tag{47}$$

Then it is found from (19)

$$\begin{array}{l} \{v_k, v_j\} = \{A(u_k), A(u_j)\} = \{A(\lambda), A(\mu)\}_{\lambda=u_k,\mu=u_j} \\ +A'(u_j)\{v_k, u_j\} + A'(u_k)\{u_k, v_j\} - A'(u_k)A'(u_j)\{u_k, u_j\} = 0. \end{array} \tag{48}$$

This completes the proof of (44).

In order to establish the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in new coordinates u_k and v_k, we have to express $\widetilde{H}_2$ in terms of u_k and v_k.

Multiplying both side of (36) by $K(\lambda)$ and comparing the coefficients at $\lambda^{N+1}, \lambda^N, \cdots$, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{l} q_1 = 2(\alpha_1 - \beta_1), \qquad q_2 = -2\alpha_2 + 2\beta_2 + 4\alpha_1\beta_1 - 3\beta_1^2 - \alpha_1^2, \\ < \Psi_1, \Psi_1 >= 2(\alpha_3 - \beta_3 - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \beta_1\alpha_2 + \beta_2\alpha_1 - \beta_1\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_1^3), \end{array} \tag{49}$$

and similar formular for $< \Lambda\Psi_1, \Psi_1 >$ and $< \Lambda^2\Psi_1, \Psi_1 >$. Notice (15) and $\psi_{2j} = \psi_{1j,x}$, it follows from (41) and (49) that

$$\psi_{1j}\psi_{2j} = \frac{R(\lambda_j)}{K'(\lambda_j)}\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}\frac{u_{k,x}}{u_k - \lambda_j} = \frac{1}{2}\psi_{1j}^2\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}\frac{u_{k,x}}{u_k - \lambda_j}, \qquad j = 1, \cdots, N, \tag{50}$$

$$p_1 = \frac{3}{8}q_1\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}u_{k,x} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}u_k u_{k,x}, \qquad p_2 = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}u_{k,x}, \tag{51}$$

which together with (36), (40) and (43) gives rise to the expression of the terms in $\widetilde{H}_2$ containing p_1, p_2 and Ψ_2:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2} < \Psi_2, \Psi_2 >= \tfrac{1}{8}\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}\sum_{l\neq k}\frac{u_{k,x}u_{l,x}}{u_l-u_k}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\psi_{1j}^2[\frac{1}{u_k-\lambda_j}-\frac{1}{u_l-\lambda_j}] \\
&+\tfrac{1}{8}\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}u_{k,x}^2\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\psi_{1j}^2}{(u_k-\lambda_j)^2} \\
&=\tfrac{1}{4}\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}\sum_{l\neq k}\frac{u_{k,x}u_{l,x}}{u_l-u_k}[u_l^2+\frac{1}{2}u_lq_1-u_k^2-\frac{1}{2}u_kq_1] \\
&-\tfrac{1}{8}\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}u_{k,x}^2\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\psi_{1j}^2}{\lambda-\lambda_j}\right)_{\lambda=u_k} \\
&=\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}u_{k,x}u_k\sum_{l\neq k}u_{l,x}+\frac{1}{8}q_1\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}\sum_{l\neq k}u_{k,x}u_{l,x} \\
&+\tfrac{1}{4}\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}u_{k,x}^2[2u_k+\frac{1}{2}q_1-\frac{R'(u_k)}{K(u_k)}] \\
&=8p_1p_2-10q_1p_2^2-\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}\frac{K(u_k)}{R'(u_k)}v_k^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

After substituting (49), by a straightforward calculation, the other terms in $\widetilde{H}_2$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{7}{128}q_1^5+\tfrac{5}{16}q_1^3q_2+\tfrac{3}{8}q_1q_2^2+\tfrac{1}{2}<\Lambda^2\Psi_1,\Psi_1>-\tfrac{1}{2}q_1<\Lambda\Psi_1,\Psi_1> \\
&-\tfrac{1}{2}q_2<\Psi_1,\Psi_1>=-\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{5}(-1)^i\alpha_i\gamma_{5-i},
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma_0=1, \qquad \gamma_1=\beta_1, \qquad \gamma_2=\beta_1^2-\beta_2, \qquad \gamma_3=\beta_1^3-2\beta_1\beta_2+\beta_3, \\
&\gamma_4=\beta_1^4-3\beta_1^2\beta_2+\beta_2^2+2\beta_1\beta_3-\beta_4, \\
&\gamma_5=\beta_1^5-4\beta_1^3\beta_2+3\beta_2^2\beta_1+3\beta_1^2\beta_3-2\beta_1\beta_4-2\beta_2\beta_3+\beta_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

In order to express the above formula in terms of v_k and u_k, we consider an integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_C\frac{\lambda^m}{R(\lambda)}d\lambda=\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}\frac{u_k^m}{R'(u_k)}=R_\infty(m), \tag{55}$$

which is taken along the circle centered at origin and with a sufficiently large radius to contain all zeros u_k of $R(\lambda)$. The residuum at infinity $R_\infty(m)$ is equal to a coefficient at λ^{-1} of the expression of $\frac{\lambda^m}{R(\lambda)}$. Then, using (54), (55) lead to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}\frac{u_k^m}{R'(u_k)}=0, \quad m=0,\cdots,N, \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{N+2}\frac{u_k^{N+m+1}}{R'(u_k)}=\gamma_m, \quad m=0,\cdots,5. \tag{56}$$

Thus , due to (52), (53) and (56), one obtains

$$\widetilde{H}_2=-\sum_{k=1}^{N+2}\frac{1}{R'(u_k)}[K(u_k)v_k^2+\sum_{i=0}^{5}(-1)^i\alpha_iu_k^{N+6-i}]. \tag{57}$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the action function $S(u_1, \cdots, u_{N+2})$ [1] reads (using (56))

$$\begin{array}{l} \sum_{k=1}^{N+2} \frac{1}{R'(u_k)}[K(u_k)(\frac{\partial S}{\partial u_k})^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{5}(-1)^i \alpha_i u_k^{N+6-i}] = h_1 \\ = \sum_{m=1}^{N+2} h_m \sum_{k=1}^{N+2} \frac{u_k^{N+2-m}}{R'(u_k)}. \end{array} \tag{58}$$

It is easy to see that the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (58) may be separated. Set $S(u_1, \cdots, u_{N+2}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N+2} S_k(u_k)$, then each $S_k(u_k)$ has to satisfy

$$K(u_k)\left(\frac{\partial S_k}{\partial u_k}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{5}(-1)^i \alpha_i u_k^{N+6-i} - \sum_{m=1}^{N+2} h_m u_k^{N+2-m} = 0, \quad k = 1, \cdots, N+2, \tag{59}$$

where $h_1, \cdots, h_{N+2}$ are the constants of separation. Hence a complete integral of Hamilton-Jacobi equation (58) may be written as follows

$$S(u_1, \cdots, u_{N+2}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N+2} \int^{u_k} \sqrt{\frac{G(\lambda)}{K(\lambda)}} d\lambda, \tag{60}$$

where

$$G(\lambda) = -\sum_{i=0}^{5}(-1)^i \alpha_i \lambda^{N+6-i} + \sum_{m=1}^{N+2} h_m \lambda^{N+2-m}. \tag{61}$$

The constants of separation $h_1, \cdots, h_{N+2}$ determined by

$$K(u_k)v_k^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{5}(-1)^i \alpha_i u_k^{N+6-i} = \sum_{m=1}^{N+2} h_m u_k^{N+2-m}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, N+2, \tag{62}$$

provide a new set of integrals of motion in involution for (16).

In the exactly same way, we can show the separability of Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the FDIHS's obtained from (5) in the coordinates u_k defined by the zeros of $B^{(k_0)}(\lambda)$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his gratitude to Academy of Finland for financial support and to Professor J. Hietarinta for kind hospitality and valuable discussions. This work was also partially supported by Chinese National Basic Research Project 'Nonlinear Science'.

References

[1] V.I. Arnold, Mathematical methods of classical mechanics (Springer, Berlin, 1978).

[2] L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtajan, Hamiltonian method in the theory of solitons (Springer, Berlin, 1986).

[3] O. Babelon and C-M Viallet, Phys. Lett. B 237(1990)411.

[4] J.M. Maillet, Phys. Lett. B 162(1985)137.

[5] M. Semenov-Tian-Shanskii, Funct. Anal. Appl. 17(1983)259.

[6] J. Avan and M. Talon, Phys. Lett. B 303(1993)33.

[7] E.G. Kalnis and W. Miller Jr, J. Math. Phys. 27(1986)1721.

[8] A.C. Newell, M. Tabor and Yunbo Zeng, Physica D 29(1987)1.

[9] J.C. Eilbeck, V.Z. Enol'skii, V.B. Kuznetsov and A.V. Tsiganov, J. Phys. A 27(1994)567.

[10] V. Rovoson, A. Ramani and B. Gramaticos, Phys. Lett. A 191(1994)91.

[11] Yunbo Zeng and Yishen Li, J. Phys. A 26(1993)L273.

[12] M. Jaulent and K. Miodek, Lett. Math. Phys. 1(1976)243.

[13] Yunbo Zeng, Phys. Lett. A 160(1991)541.

[14] Yunbo Zeng, Physica D 73(1994)171.

[15] O. Ragnisco and S. Rauch-Wojciechowski, Inverse Problems 8(1992)245.